





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,447	09/13/2000	Helen B. McIntosh	T2739-906589	7855
7:	590 02/04/2003			
Edward J Kondracki			EXAMINER	
	Drive Suite 500		SUHOL, DMITRY	
McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3712	
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/661,447	MCINTOSH, HELEN B.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dmitry Suhol	3712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note l	pelow);				
(c)	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 8-10.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 11-19</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Clean copy of amended claims and marked up version do not match therefore the limitations encompassed by the amendment can not be determined. Claim 16 may introduce new issues that would require further consideration (i.e. rug or sheet).